

Comparison of Monte Carlo methods efficiency to solve radiative energy transfer in high-fidelity unsteady 3D simulations

Lorella Palluotto, Nicolas Dumont, Pedro Rodrigues, Chaï Koren, Ronan Vicquelin, Olivier Gicquel

22nd November 2017











Commission

Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(1/3)

Multiphysics: Combustion, conduction and radiation

Accurate prediction of wall heat transfer coupling turbulent reactive flows with radiation and conduction heat transfer.







Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(1/3)

Multiphysics: Combustion, conduction and radiation

time

Accurate prediction of wall heat transfer coupling turbulent reactive flows with radiation and conduction heat transfer.



Combustion-radiation simulations:

RANS + DOM: *Coelho et al.* Combustion and Flame 2003

RANS + MC: *Tessé et al.* Intl. J. Heat Mass Transfer 2004 *Whang et al.* JQSRT 2008 *Mehta et al.* Computational Thermal Sciences 2009

LES/DNS + DOM: Amaya et al. JQSRT 2010 Poitou et al. Combustion an flame 2012 Berger et al. Applied Thermal Engineering 2016

LES/DNS + MC: Zhang et al. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 2014 Koren et al. ASME TurboExpo 2017 Rodrigues et al. ICNC 2017





Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(2/3)

Possible approaches for coupled combustion-radiation simulations







Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(2/3)

Possible approaches for coupled combustion-radiation simulations







Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(2/3)

Possible approaches for coupled combustion-radiation simulations







Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(3/3)

High-fidelity multi-physics simulations: challenge



High computational cost:

Coupled radiation-combustion simulation 10 times more expensive than an only-combustion simulation





Monte Carlo for

radiation

Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(3/3)

High-fidelity multi-physics simulations: challenge



High computational cost:

Coupled radiation-combustion simulation 10 times more expensive than an only-combustion simulation

Orders of magnitude

Coupled simulation of an industrial combustion chamber

Ix10⁶ CPUh

<u>Time</u>

- Serial computation : 100 years

- Parallel computation : 4 days with 10000 cores

Money

Cost : 30000 - 40000 €







Context: High-fidelity multi physics simulations(3/3)

High-fidelity multi-physics simulations: challenge



High computational cost:

Coupled radiation-combustion simulation 10 times more expensive than an only-combustion simulation Most of CPU time

Orders of magnitude

Coupled simulation of an industrial combustion chamber

Ix10⁶ CPUh

Time

- Serial computation : 100 years
- Parallel computation : 4 days with 10000 cores

<u>Money</u> Cost : 30000 - 40000 €

Importance of CPU time reduction!



LES

combustion solver

Monte Carlo for

radiation





Radiative heat transfer simulations

Set-up











• Two strategies are investigated in order to reduce the MC error:

• Importance sampling

• Quasi-Monte Carlo methods





Optimized^[1] Emission-based Reciprocity Method^[2] (OERM)

• Rays followed in a **reverse** direction: from detector to source



Scheme of photons bundles departing from the nodes of the domain

- Reciprocity principle respected for every path
- [1] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.
- [2] Tessé, L., Dupoirieux, F., Zamuner, B., and Taine, J., 2002. "Radiative transfer in real gases using reciprocal and forward monte carlo methods and a correlated-k approach". IJHMT





Optimized^[1] Emission-based Reciprocity Method^[2] (OERM)

• Rays followed in a **reverse** direction: from detector to source



Scheme of photons bundles departing from the nodes of the domain

- Reciprocity principle respected for every path
- [1] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.
- [2] Tessé, L., Dupoirieux, F., Zamuner, B., and Taine, J., 2002. "Radiative transfer in real gases using reciprocal and forward monte carlo methods and a correlated-k approach". IJHMT





Optimized^[1] Emission-based Reciprocity Method^[2] (OERM)

• Rays followed in a **reverse** direction: from detector to source



Scheme of photons bundles departing from the nodes of the domain

- Reciprocity principle respected for every path
- [1] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.
- [2] Tessé, L., Dupoirieux, F., Zamuner, B., and Taine, J., 2002. "Radiative transfer in real gases using reciprocal and forward monte carlo methods and a correlated-k approach". IJHMT





Optimized^[1] Emission-based Reciprocity Method^[2] (OERM)

• Rays followed in a **reverse** direction: from detector to source

Mesh points independent from each others



Scheme of photons bundles departing from the nodes of the domain

- Reciprocity principle respected for every path
- [1] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.
- [2] Tessé, L., Dupoirieux, F., Zamuner, B., and Taine, J., 2002. "Radiative transfer in real gases using reciprocal and forward monte carlo methods and a correlated-k approach". IJHMT





Optimized^[1] Emission-based Reciprocity Method^[2] (OERM)

• Rays followed in a **reverse** direction: from detector to source

Mesh points independent from each others



Scheme of photons bundles departing from the nodes of the domain

Possibility to control the local convergence

- Reciprocity principle respected for every path
- [1] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.

[2] Tessé, L., Dupoirieux, F., Zamuner, B., and Taine, J., 2002. "Radiative transfer in real gases using reciprocal and forward monte carlo methods and a correlated-k approach". IJHMT





Optimized^[1] Emission-based Reciprocity Method^[2] (OERM)

-

• Rays followed in a **reverse** direction: from detector to source

Mesh points independent from each others



Scheme of photons bundles departing from the nodes

of the domain



- [1] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.
- [2] Tessé, L., Dupoirieux, F., Zamuner, B., and Taine, J., 2002. "Radiative transfer in real gases using reciprocal and forward monte carlo methods and a correlated-k approach". IJHMT





Monte Carlo OERM



Monte Carlo error



One way to reduce the MC error: reduce $\,\sigma$

How? Sampling in the most important regions of the integration domain

Widely studied topic [1,2,3]

- [1] Feldick, A. M., Modest M.F. 2011. AIAA
- [2] Juvela, M. 2005 Astronomy & Astrophysics.
- [3] de Lataillade, A. et al. 2001 JQSRT.
- [4] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.





Monte Carlo OERM

8

IMPORTANCE SAMPLING: One of variance reduction methods to accelerate MC convergence

Monte Carlo error



One way to reduce the MC error: reduce $\,\sigma\,$

How? Sampling in the most important regions of the integration domain

Widely studied topic [1,2,3]

Optimized-ERM^[1]

Frequency distribution function based on emission at the maximum temperature of the system

 $f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v}, T_{max}) = \frac{\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}(T_{max})I_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ}(T_{max})}{\int_{0}^{+\infty}\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}(T_{max})I_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ}(T_{max})d\mathbf{v}}$

[1] Feldick, A. M., Modest M.F. 2011. AIAA

- [2] Juvela, M. 2005 Astronomy & Astrophysics.
- [3] de Lataillade, A. et al. 2001 JQSRT.

[4] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.

CLEAN GAS



Monte Carlo OERM

8

IMPORTANCE SAMPLING: One of variance reduction methods to accelerate MC convergence

Monte Carlo error



One way to reduce the MC error: reduce $\,\sigma$

How? Sampling in the most important regions of the integration domain

Widely studied topic [1,2,3]

Optimized-ERM^[1]

Frequency distribution function based on emission at the maximum temperature of the system

$$f_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{V},T_{max}) = \frac{\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(T_{max})I_{\mathcal{V}}^{\circ}(T_{max})}{\int_{0}^{+\infty}\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(T_{max})I_{\mathcal{V}}^{\circ}(T_{max})d\mathcal{V}}$$

ERM:

frequency distribution function based on local emission

 $f_{\mathbf{v}i}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}(T_i)I_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ}(T_i)}{\int_0^{+\infty}\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}(T_i)I_{\mathbf{v}}^{\circ}(T_i)d\mathbf{v}}$

[1] Feldick, A. M., Modest M.F. 2011. AIAA

- [2] Juvela, M. 2005 Astronomy & Astrophysics.
- [3] de Lataillade, A. et al. 2001 JQSRT.

[4] Zhang, Y., Gicquel, O., and Taine, J., 2012. "Optimized emission-based reciprocity Monte Carlo method to speed up computation in complex systems". IJHMT.



Monte Carlo OERM

8

IMPORTANCE SAMPLING: One of variance reduction methods to accelerate MC convergence

Monte Carlo error

LEAN



One way to reduce the MC error: reduce $\,\sigma$

How? Sampling in the most important regions of the integration domain

Widely studied topic [1,2,3]

Optimized-ERM^[1]

Frequency distribution function based on emission at the maximum temperature of the system

$$f_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{V},T_{max}) = \frac{\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(T_{max})I_{\mathcal{V}}^{\circ}(T_{max})}{\int_{0}^{+\infty}\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(T_{max})I_{\mathcal{V}}^{\circ}(T_{max})d\mathcal{V}}$$





Monte Carlo OERM

8

IMPORTANCE SAMPLING: One of variance reduction methods to accelerate MC convergence

Monte Carlo error



One way to reduce the MC error: reduce $\,\sigma$

How? Sampling in the most important regions of the integration domain

Widely studied topic [1,2,3]

Optimized-ERM^[1]

Frequency distribution function based on emission at the maximum temperature of the system

$$f_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{V},T_{max}) = \frac{\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(T_{max})I_{\mathcal{V}}^{\circ}(T_{max})}{\int_{0}^{+\infty}\kappa_{\mathcal{V}}(T_{max})I_{\mathcal{V}}^{\circ}(T_{max})d\mathcal{V}}$$





Monte Carlo OERM

8

CentraleSupélec

IMPORTANCE SAMPLING: One of variance reduction methods to accelerate MC convergence

Monte Carlo error



One way to reduce the MC error: reduce $\,\sigma$

How? Sampling in the most important regions of the integration domain

Widely studied topic [1,2,3]

Optimized-ERM^[1]

Frequency distribution function based on emission at the maximum temperature of the system





Premixed swirled flame of CH4 H2 and air



9

Semi-industrial burner^[1]





[1] Guiberti, T. (2015, February). Analysis of the topology of premixed swirl-stabilized confined flames. Theses, Ecole Centrale Paris.
[2] Koren, C., Vicquelin, R., and Gicquel, O., 2017. "Highfidelity multiphysics simulation of a confined premixed swirling flame combining large-eddy simulation, wall heat conduction and radiative energy transfer". ASME Turbo EXPO 2017.





Radiative heat transfer simulations



Instantaneous field of radiative power. Black line is the iso-contour for radiative power = 0.





Radiative heat transfer simulations



Instantaneous field of radiative power. Black line is the iso-contour for radiative power = 0.

Imposed convergence criteria:

- Relative error = 3%
- Absolute error = 3% of Pmax







Radiative heat transfer simulations



Instantaneous field of radiative power. Black line is the iso-contour for radiative power = 0.

Imposed convergence criteria:

- Relative error = 3%
- Absolute error = 3% of Pmax





Computations with a fixed rays number

Rays number : 10 000









11

• Two strategies are investigated in order to reduce the MC error:

• Importance sampling

• Quasi-Monte Carlo methods







12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method





12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method







(Unfeasible in high-D)







12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method

 Quasi-random instead of pure random sampling









12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method

- Quasi-random instead of pure random sampling
- Random low-discrepancy sequences^[1]: points more uniformly distributed
- Advantage: convergence rate faster than MC and asymptotically :









12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method

- Quasi-random instead of pure random sampling
- Random low-discrepancy sequences^[1]: points more uniformly distributed
- Advantage: convergence rate faster than MC and asymptotically :

Quasi-Monte Carlo error







12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method

- Quasi-random instead of pure random sampling
- Random low-discrepancy sequences^[1]: points more uniformly distributed
- Advantage: convergence rate faster than MC and asymptotically :

Quasi-Monte Carlo error

Monte Carlo error



QMC

[1] Joe, S., and Kuo, F. Y., 2008. "Constructing Sobol sequences with better two-dimensional projections". SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing

MC





12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method

- Quasi-random instead of pure random sampling
- Random low-discrepancy sequences^[1]: points more uniformly distributed
- Advantage: convergence rate faster than MC and asymptotically :

Quasi-Monte Carlo error

Monte Carlo error



MC

Importance sampling

QMC

 $\pi/$

Deterministic discretization (Unfeasible in high-D)





12

To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method

- Quasi-random instead of pure random sampling
- Random low-discrepancy sequences^[1]: points more uniformly distributed
- Advantage: convergence rate faster than MC and asymptotically :

Quasi-Monte Carlo error

Monte Carlo error







To reduce the MC error:

Alternative sampling method

- Quasi-random instead of pure random sampling
- Random low-discrepancy sequences^[1]: points more uniformly distributed
- Advantage: convergence rate faster than MC and asymptotically :

Quasi-Monte Carlo error

Monte Carlo error

QMC in radiative heat transfer

Simple 2-D configurations

→ O'Brien, D. M. 1992 "Accelerated quasi Monte Carlo integration of the radiative transfer equation".

 $\epsilon \approx \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\Lambda}}$

→ Kersch, A., Morokoff, W., and Schuster, A., 1994. "Radiative heat transfer with quasi-monte carlo methods"

 $3\pi/2$

[1] Joe, S., and Kuo, F. Y., 2008. "Constructing Sobol sequences with better two-dimensional projections". SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing

Random sampling

MC







Deterministic discretization (Unfeasible in high-D)



First time applied in a real 3-D application







OERM: Monte Carlo vs Quasi-Monte Carlo

Quasi-Monte Carlo can be combined with any method Here: QMC-OERM compared to Monte Carlo-OERM

> Computations with a fixed rays number

Rays number : 10 000



Quasi-Monte Carlo:





OERM: Monte Carlo vs Quasi-Monte Carlo

Quasi-Monte Carlo can be combined with any method Here: QMC-OERM compared to Monte Carlo-OERM

Computations with a fixed rays number

Rays number : 10 000

Computations with controlled convergence

Relative error : 5%

Absolute error : 10% (of Pmax)







Monte Carlo:

Quasi-Monte Carlo:









CPU efficiency of MC and QMC methods





Ratio bigger than I in almost the whole domain

[1] Lemieux, C., 2009. Monte carlo and quasi-monte carlo sampling. Springer Science & Business Media.





CPU efficiency of MC and QMC methods

14

• Local efficiency of methods^[1]:



Ratio of CPU time

Ratio bigger than I in almost the whole domain

MC and QMC computational time:

• $\frac{T_{CPU,MC}}{T_{CPU,QMC}} = 2.7$ = • • QMC 3 times faster than MC!

Such an improvement makes coupled simulations more affordable

[1] Lemieux, C., 2009. Monte carlo and quasi-monte carlo sampling. Springer Science & Business Media.







15

Monte Carlo methods: accurate but computationally expensive



Need to reduce CPU time to afford coupled 3D simulations of reactive flows







15

Monte Carlo methods: accurate but computationally expensive



Need to reduce CPU time to afford coupled 3D simulations of reactive flows

• Scalable Monte Carlo method

- <u>High scalability</u> up to 2000 cores
- Control of <u>local convergence</u>







15

Monte Carlo methods: accurate but computationally expensive



Need to reduce CPU time to afford coupled 3D simulations of reactive flows

• Scalable Monte Carlo method

- <u>High scalability</u> up to 2000 cores
- Control of <u>local convergence</u>
- Importance sampling: one way for error reduction
 - OERM applied to industrial configuration







15

Monte Carlo methods: accurate but computationally expensive



Need to reduce CPU time to afford coupled 3D simulations of reactive flows

• Scalable Monte Carlo method

- <u>High scalability</u> up to 2000 cores
- Control of <u>local convergence</u>
- Importance sampling: one way for error reduction
 - OERM applied to industrial configuration
- Quasi-Monte Carlo methods: second way for error reduction
 - **3 times** more efficient than Monte Carlo
 - Next step: Towards coupled multi-physics simulations







Thank you for your attention!

This project has received funding from the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 643134. It was also granted access to the HPC resources of CINES under the allocation 2016-020164 made by GENCI.







European Commission

Quasi-Monte Carlo convergence

• The approximation error of the quasi-Monte Carlo method is:

$$\varepsilon \approx \frac{(logN)^s}{N}$$

• where *s* is the number of dimension





Computational time



18

Table of computational time of radiative heat transfer simulations for the retained configurations

• Controlled convergence computations criteria:

Relative error : 3%

Absolute error : 3 % (of P_{max})

- Number of cores: 168
- Optimized-ERM

	Quasi-Monte Carlo
Combustion chamber	190





Reciprocity principle

• The energy emitted by the differential volume element dV_i and absorbed by dV_j (= $dA_j x ds_j$) is:

$$dP_{\nu,ij}^{ea} = \left[4\pi\kappa_{\nu}(T_i)I_{\nu}^0(T_i)dV_i\right] \times \left(\frac{dA_j}{4\pi r^2}\right) \times \tau_{\nu,r} \times \kappa_{\nu}(T_j)ds_j\right]$$

• The equation can be recast as:

$$\frac{dP_{\nu,ij}^{ea}}{I_{\nu}^{0}(T_i)} = \tau_{\nu,r}\kappa_{\nu}(T_i)\kappa_{\nu}(T_j)\frac{dV_idV_j}{r^2}$$

• Similarly, in terms of the energy emitted by i and absorbed by j:

$$\frac{dP_{\nu,ji}^{ea}}{I_{\nu}^{0}(T_{j})} = \tau_{\nu,r}\kappa_{\nu}(T_{i})\kappa_{\nu}(T_{j})\frac{dV_{i}dV_{j}}{r^{2}}$$
$$\frac{dP_{\nu,ij}^{ea}}{I_{\nu}^{0}(T_{i})} = \frac{dP_{\nu,ji}^{ea}}{I_{\nu}^{0}(T_{j})}$$

Radiative exchange between two differential volume elements

• **Reciprocity principle**: the ratio between dPeaij and dPeaji is equal to the corresponding equilibrium spectral intensity ratio







CentraleSupéleo